

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800

Open access books available

122,000

International authors and editors

135M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Identification of Putative Major Space Genes Using Genome-Wide Literature Data

Haitham Abdelmoaty, Timothy G. Hammond,
Bobby L. Wilson, Holly H. Birdsall and
Jade Q. Clement

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/60412>

1. Introduction

Microgravity in life sciences is an important field of study, not only because of our interest in exploring and living in space for extended periods, but also for the potential insights it gives on earthbound health problems. With genome-wide array technologies, the study of microgravity effects on living organisms can be examined in much greater detail at the cellular and molecular levels which is key to elucidating the molecular mechanisms of this environmental factor. Microgravity is a main environmental risk factor of spaceflight [1, 2] and the adverse effects of microgravity have much in common with earthbound health problems related to low physical activity or reduced mechanical loading. Bone loss and muscle atrophy as well as immune system dysfunction are some of the main consequences common to both extended spaceflight and physical inactivity such as that associated with premature aging and degenerative disorders [3, 4]. Remarkable similarities have been noted between the clinical presentation of spinal cord injury and prolonged gravity unloading including atrophy in muscle and bone, cardiovascular disturbances, and alterations in renal, immune and sensory motor [5]. Microgravity research also holds promise in the area of tissue engineering. Microgravity simulation devices such as Rotating Wall Vessel (RWV) have been increasingly explored to generate 3-D organ mimics for liver and pancreatic islet transplantations [6-9]. Continued effort in microgravity research will deepen our understanding of space adaptation response and improve our ability to treat health-related problems, such as spinal cord injury, diabetes, osteoporosis, and premature aging. A better understanding of microgravity effects at the molecular level could help in the development of countermeasures that will protect astronauts from the deleterious effects of living in space as well as lead to the development of treatments for human diseases here on Earth.

Cellular environmental changes such as sudden gravity change is likely to alter the fundamental activities of genes and any change in the physiological function of a cell or an organism is most likely the result of changes in certain genes' expressions. Genes from many cell types have been shown to be sensitive to the microgravity environments (reviewed by Clement 2012). With the advent of high-throughput genomic technology such as microarrays, large scale genome-wide studies have been performed to assess the mRNA levels of cultured cells and organisms exposed to microgravity. This is an effective approach because the control of mRNA abundance of genes is effectively adapted by cells through controlling transcription (especially transcription initiation), nuclear pre-mRNA processing, mRNA transport, mRNA stability, etc. The cellular abundance of mRNAs is critical to gene function and protein production, which is intriguingly fine-tuned by non-coding regulatory RNAs such as miRNAs. Since the turn of the century, microarray studies have been increasingly used in space life sciences to assess the abundance of mRNAs in response to microgravity. The microgravity biotechnologies combined with microarray technology have been successfully used to study microgravity effect on gene expression on a wide variety of cell types. In a previous review, data was combined from all retrievable microarray-based microgravity research to identify the most frequently altered putative "major space genes" [10]. At that time we identified 26 microarray based microgravity studies in mammalian cells or tissue that had some form of published gene lists. In addition, we included the then available results (published gene lists) from four *Xenopus* studies. Candidate major space genes were defined as genes that appeared to have significantly altered expression levels in at least four studies. The resulting list of merely eight potential space genes were CD44, CTGF, CYR61, FN1, MT2, MT1, MARCKS, TUBA4A [4]

Since 2011, substantially more progress has been achieved in the literature because significantly more studies have been published with retrievable gene lists. The combination of a greater number of studies and a general increase in the availability of published gene lists, has enabled us to greatly expand our list of putative "major space genes" from the initial number of eight [4] to the present number of 129 at the same initial level of stringency, a gene's expression was found to be altered by microgravity in four or more studies. Thus, this paper is an extended review and meta-analysis of gene expression profiles to identify major space genes, with emphasis on findings on mammalian cells. To accomplish this, we first defined the method and scope of the current literature-based study to identify the putative major space genes from published data on microarray based microgravity studies in the literature. We proceeded to obtain our novel data at three different confidence levels for the putative major space genes. We further refined the criterion for putative major space genes to only include genes that were found to have altered expression patterns in five or more studies or model cell lines. This higher stringency of selection yielded a more focused group of 35 putative major space genes. Furthermore, we identified 13 genes as the most likely candidates for the major space genes because they have been reported most frequently (≥ 6 studies) as microgravity sensitive genes. We then proceeded to perform bioinformatics analysis at each of the three confidence levels of the putative major space genes. We will present and discuss the lists of candidate major space genes that are most frequently altered by microgravity environments. We also review and discuss recent advances in the area of microarray based microgravity research.

2. Methods and results

The scope of the current study includes all the microarray based microgravity studies on gene expression regulations that have been documented in the literature. For the initial data collection, we started by doing a PubMed search with the terms such as “microarray and microgravity”, “space flight and microarray” and “gene expression and microgravity”. From these searches, we were able to identify 48 mammalian microarray studies of microgravity effect. Of these 48 studies there was some form of published gene list from 38 different cell lines in 35 microarray publications of mammalian cells exposed to microgravity, which provide the initial “materials” that this current study is based on. In this Methods and Results section, we present the methods and results together since they are intimately linked in the current approach. We present the methods and results in the following stages: First, the scope of the study data collection is tabulated in Table 1; second, the compilation of the “Master” gene list; Third, identification of the putative major space genes at three different levels of stringency; Fourth, bioinformatics analysis of these putative major space genes using Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) and Search Tool for Interacting Gene/Proteins (STRING).

2.1. Compilation of published gene expression data into a “Master” gene list

We collected information on microgravity sensitive genes from the literature into a tabular format so that the source of the reference, the model cell types, the types of microgravity, the duration of exposures, the platform of the gene expression analysis, magnitudes and directions of gene expression regulation, etc. were all included in the “Master” gene list. The source of data contributing publications used as the subject for our current study is shown in Table 1. The first step in the analysis of the collected data pool was to convert the collected published gene expression data into a format that can be compared directly. Since much of the comparison was across species, we chose to use gene symbols rather than accession numbers. This is mainly because accession numbers are different across species, but the gene symbols are typically the same. In addition, some of the gene lists included accession numbers and gene symbols, others included accession numbers and no gene symbols, and still others included gene symbols and no accession numbers. Therefore, we choose to use gene symbol for all further comparative analysis of these published data. Specifically, we used the DAVID Gene ID Conversion Tool [11] to convert all the differentially expressed genes in microgravity into the same format for comparison. To do this, we copied the accession numbers from each study and uploaded them into DAVID Gene ID Conversion Tool. Once uploaded, the accession numbers are automatically converted into the format chosen. In this case, we chose official gene symbols.

We then were able to assemble a “master” gene list from the 38 published gene lists (PGL) using gene symbols for direct comparison. Our main interest was to determine if a gene was differentially expressed in microgravity. For biological and technical repeats, the data were already averaged in the initial publications and the averaged data were presented in the PGL. There are also a few time-course studies using microarray profiling microgravity effects on

gene expression. If a gene was differentially expressed at any time point in a time-course study, it was included in the master list with its magnitude and direction of differential regulation. Even if a gene was differentially regulated in different directions among different time points, we counted it as a differentially regulated gene. Some of these differences in expression are discussed later in this paper.

This “Master” gene list is by no means a complete gene list since many of the publications in the scope of our current study do not include the full list of differentially regulated genes. Significantly, this master gene list provides the data necessary for the identification of putative major space genes at relatively high confidence levels.

| Organism/Cell or Tissue Type | Microgravity | Array type | Citation |
|------------------------------|--------------|--|----------|
| Human | | | |
| Renal | RWV/STS | Incye | [12] |
| Renal | RWV/STS | Incye | [13] |
| Liver | RWV | 6K Human Array | [14] |
| Jurkat | STS | GeneFilter 20k array | [15] |
| Fibroblast | STS | in house | [16] |
| T-Cells | B | unknown | [17] |
| T-Cells | RPM | Affymetrix Human Genome Focus Array | [18] |
| T-Cells | RWV | Affymetrix Human U133A Array | [19] |
| Muscle | BR | Human AceGene Chip | [20] |
| Endothelial | RPM | unknown | [21] |
| Liver | RWV | Agilent 22k Human Microarray V2 | [22] |
| Osteoblast | RPM | Atlas Glass Human 3.8 Microarray | [23] |
| Skin | RWV | Agilent 22k Human Microarray V2 | [24] |
| Muscle | BR | MWG human 23k oligo array_version 3 | [25] |
| Osteoblast | DL | Affymetrix Human U133 Plus 2.0 Array | [26] |
| Muscle | ULLS | unknown | [27] |
| Lymphoblastoids | ISS | Agilent 44k Whole Genome Microarray | [28] |
| Stem Cells | RWV | Affymetrix Human U133 Plus 2.0 Array | [29] |
| Lymphoblastoids | RWV | Illumina HumanWG-6 V4 BeadChip/RT2 miRNA PCR Array | [30] |
| T-Cells | RWV/ISS | Affymetrix Human U133 Plus 2.0 Array | [31] |
| Lymphoblastoids | ISS | Panorama Ab Microarray | [32] |
| Thyroid Cancer | RPM | Illumina HumanWG-6_V2_0_R3_11223189_A array | [33] |
| Endothelial | P | Illumina HumanWG-6_V2_0_R3_11223189_A array | [34] |
| Endothelial | RPM | Illumina HumanWG-6_V2_0_R3_11223189_A array | [35] |

| Organism/Cell or Tissue Type | Microgravity | Array type | Citation |
|------------------------------|--------------|---|----------|
| Endothelial | ISS | Affymetrix Human Gene 1.0 ST arrays | [36] |
| Thyroid | RPM | Illumina HumanWG-6_V2_0_R3_11223189_A array | [37] |
| Lymphocytes | RWV | Agilent Whole Genome Microarray/Agilent Human miRNA Microarray V2 | [38] |
| Mouse | | | |
| 2T3 | RPM | Amersham CodeLink Uniset Mouse I Bioarray | [39] |
| 2T3 | RPM | Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse 430 2.0 | [40] |
| Muscle | HLS | Agilent Mouse Oligo Array | [41] |
| Brain | HLS | AECOM Mouse 27k cDNA array | [42] |
| Muscle | STS/HLS | Affymetrix Mouse Expression 430 A Array | [43] |
| Osteoblast | RWV | Agilent Mouse Oligo Array | [44] |
| Osteoblast | DL | Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430 A 2.0 Array | [45] |
| Stem Cells | RWV | Roche Nimblegen | [46] |
| Stem Cells | ISS | Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.0 ST | [47] |
| Thymus | STS | Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.0 ST | [48] |
| Osteoclasts | RWV | Agilent whole genome 4X44K | [49] |
| Liver | RWV | Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Array | [7] |
| Fibroblast | RWV | Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430 A 2.0 Array | [50] |
| Glial | HLS | Illumina MouseRef-8 v.2 BeadChips | [51] |
| Rat | | | |
| Muscle | HLS | Atlas Rat 1.2 cDNA Array | [52] |
| Muscle | HLS | Affymetrix U34A Rat Genome Microarray | [53] |
| Muscle | STS | Atlas Rat 1.2 cDNA Array | [54] |
| Gastrocnemius | STS/HLS/D | Affymetrix U34A Rat Genome Microarray | [55] |
| Muscle | HLS | Atlas Rat cDNA Expression Array | [56] |
| PC12 | RWV | In house | [57] |
| Stem Cell | RWV | CapitalBio Rat Genomic Array | [58] |

RWV – Rotating Wall Vessel, HLS – Hind Limb Suspension

RPM – Random Positioning Machine, P- Parabolic Flight

D-Denervation, B-Balloon

STS-Space Shuttle, ULLS-Unilateral Lower Limb Suspension

DL-Diamagnetic Levitation

ISS - International Space Station

Table 1. Microarray Based Studies of Microgravity Effect on Mammalian Cells

2.2. Identification of putative major space genes with different levels of stringency

By compiling the published gene lists into the master list, it provided us with an accurate and convenient platform to identify putative major space genes at various levels of stringency using the simple “vote counting” method. At the very basic level, we identified 1199 genes that were differentially regulated in two or more of the documented studies. One level higher, we found 298 genes appeared to be affected by microgravity in three or more microarray-based microgravity studies. Furthermore, when we set the bar to four or more studies, we identified 129 genes (Table 2), which is in drastic contrast to the 8 genes found a few years ago using this same level of stringency. Because of the increase in the number of relevant studies, we were able to go beyond the level of four or more studies in the selection of putative major space genes which was the highest level possible in our previous report [4]. Just to reach one step further, we isolated 35 candidate major space genes in five or more studies (Table 2). Further still, we found 13 genes that were reported in six or more studies to be microgravity sensitive (Table 2). These two additional levels of higher stringency for the selection of putative major space genes enabled a significantly higher level of confidence. We performed further bioinformatics analysis on the differentially regulated genes of the top three stringency levels: gene lists of 129 genes (in ≥ 4 studies), 35 genes (in ≥ 5 studies), and 13 genes (in ≥ 6 studies), respectively.

| Genes Differentially Regulated in 4 Studies | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|--------|----------|
| ADAMTS1 | CCT7 | ETFA | MFNG | RPL29 |
| ADORA2A | CD59 | FOSL1 | MMGT1 | RPL9 |
| ALDOA | CD9 | FST | MMP1 | RPLP0 |
| ANPEP | CD93 | GARS | MRPS35 | SERPINE1 |
| ANXA2 | CDH1 | GJB2 | MX1 | SGK1 |
| ANXA3 | CDV3 | GNG10 | NOTCH1 | SLC16A3 |
| AP1S1 | CFLAR | GPNMB | NTN4 | SNX7 |
| AP3M1 | CKS1B | HBEGF | PDGFRB | SPRY2 |
| ASAP1 | CLDN11 | HERPUD1 | PDIA4 | SRGN |
| ASNS | CLIC3 | ID1 | PECAM1 | TCP1 |
| ATF3 | CNBP | IGFBP6 | PKIA | TFB2M |
| ATP5F1 | CNIH | ITGAV | PLAT | TGM2 |
| ATP6V0D1 | COL8A1 | JUNB | PLOD2 | TLR4 |
| BIRC3 | CXCL2 | KYNU | PLSCR4 | TRIB3 |

| | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|--------|----------|
| BNIP3L | DDIT3 | LITAF | PRDX2 | TXN |
| CAPN5 | EEF1A1 | LOC285741 | PTX3 | UQCRCF51 |
| CBS | EFEMP1 | LOC399942 | RBM3 | WISP2 |
| CCL2 | EGFL7 | LOC643668 | RPL10A | ZNF323 |
| CCNC | EIF1B | LTBP2 | RPL17 | |
| Genes Differentially Regulated in 5 Studies | | | | |
| ACP1 | CD44 | IGFBP3 | LOX | TPM1 |
| ADM | CDH5 | IGFBP7 | MMP10 | TXNDC5 |
| AKAP12 | CMTM7 | IL8 | PHGDH | |
| CAV1 | DDIT4 | ITGA10 | SFRP1 | |
| CAV2 | HSPA8 | LIMCH1 | TPI1 | |
| Genes Differentially Regulated in 6 or more Studies | | | | |
| CTGF | FN1 | ITGB4 | MT2 | TXNIP |
| CYR61 | FOS | KPNA2 | MYC | |
| EGR1 | HSPA1A | MT1 | TUBA4A | |

Table 2. Putative List of Major Space Genes differentially regulated in 4 or more studies

2.3. Bioinformatics analysis of the putative major space genes

In order to get a better understanding of the putative major space genes at the top three stringency levels, we subjected the genes listed in Tables 2 to further bioinformatics analysis using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) v6.7 [59, 60] and Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) [61, 62].

The DAVID analysis through gene enrichment allowed us to identify enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms as well as statistically significant pathways. Each of the top three gene lists was uploaded to DAVID Functional tool (<http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov>) to identify the statistically significant KEGG Pathways as well as the frequency of genes belonging to a particular Gene Ontology. DAVID uses a modified Fisher Exact P-value for gene enrichment analysis and statistically determines the over-representation of functional gene categories in a gene list. P-values equal to or smaller than 0.05 are considered strongly enriched [59, 60]. We obtained the potential KEGG Pathways as well as enriched functional clusters as defined by DAVID [59, 60].

For the 129 genes differentially expressed in ≥ 4 microgravity studies, the pathway analysis resulted in eight pathways at P value ≤ 0.05 . The KEGG Pathway analysis showed that largest number of enriched genes were in pathways directly related to various cancer. The 2nd largest pathway identified is focal adhesion (Table 3).

| Term | Count | PValue | Genes |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|---|
| Bladder cancer | 4 | 0.02 | IL8, CDH1, MYC, MMP1 |
| Focal adhesion | 8 | 0.02 | CAV2, CAV1, ITGAV, ITGB4, PDGFRB, ITGA10, BIRC3, FN1 |
| Small cell lung cancer | 5 | 0.028 | CKS1B, ITGAV, BIRC3, MYC, FN1 |
| ECM-receptor interaction | 5 | 0.028 | CD44, ITGAV, ITGB4, ITGA10, FN1 |
| Ribosome | 5 | 0.031 | RPL17, RPL9, RPLP0, RPL10A, RPL29 |
| Pathways in cancer | 10 | 0.035 | CKS1B, FOS, IL8, ITGAV, PDGFRB, CDH1, BIRC3, MYC, MMP1, FN1 |
| Pathogenic Escherichia coli infection | 4 | 0.043 | LOC399942, TUBA4A, CDH1, TLR4 |
| NOD-like receptor signaling pathway | 4 | 0.053 | CCL2, IL8, CXCL2, BIRC3 |

Table 3. KEGG pathway analysis of 129 putative space genes

We also conducted DAVID functional cluster analysis to determine functionally enriched gene sets from the list of 129 genes differentially regulated in ≥ 4 studies. We set the Stringency at the Highest and used a P-Value cut-off of ≤ 0.05 for inclusion of a term on the list. Based on these criteria, we generated a list with 40 functionally enriched GO categories (Table 4). Some of the top functional categories (based on P-Value) were regulation of apoptosis (17.8%), ion homeostasis (8.5%), cell motility (7.75%), and insulin-like growth factor binding (4.6%).

| Term | Count | % | PValue |
|--|-------|------|----------|
| GO:0005520~insulin-like growth factor binding | 6 | 4.65 | 1.85E-06 |
| GO:0042981~regulation of apoptosis | 23 | 17.8 | 4.54E-06 |
| GO:0043066~negative regulation of apoptosis | 14 | 10.9 | 2.57E-05 |
| GO:0043065~positive regulation of apoptosis | 13 | 10.1 | 6.73E-04 |
| GO:0016477~cell migration | 10 | 7.75 | 0.001106 |
| GO:0051674~localization of cell | 10 | 7.75 | 0.002297 |
| GO:0048870~cell motility | 10 | 7.75 | 0.002297 |
| GO:0006873~cellular ion homeostasis | 11 | 8.53 | 0.002595 |
| GO:0007596~blood coagulation | 6 | 4.65 | 0.002624 |
| GO:0055082~cellular chemical homeostasis | 11 | 8.53 | 0.002909 |
| GO:0007599~hemostasis | 6 | 4.65 | 0.00336 |
| GO:0050801~ion homeostasis | 11 | 8.53 | 0.004886 |
| GO:0030005~cellular di-, tri-valent inorganic cation homeostasis | 8 | 6.2 | 0.005339 |
| GO:0032496~response to lipopolysaccharide | 5 | 3.88 | 0.005751 |
| GO:0002237~response to molecule of bacterial origin | 5 | 3.88 | 0.008466 |
| GO:0006469~negative regulation of protein kinase activity | 5 | 3.88 | 0.008811 |
| GO:0051412~response to corticosterone stimulus | 3 | 2.33 | 0.009483 |

| Term | Count | % | PValue |
|---|-------|------|----------|
| GO:0030003~cellular cation homeostasis | 8 | 6.2 | 0.009652 |
| GO:0033673~negative regulation of kinase activity | 5 | 3.88 | 0.009902 |
| GO:0042325~regulation of phosphorylation | 11 | 8.53 | 0.011735 |
| GO:0051348~negative regulation of transferase activity | 5 | 3.88 | 0.012339 |
| GO:0030324~lung development | 5 | 3.88 | 0.013689 |
| GO:0051385~response to mineralocorticoid stimulus | 3 | 2.33 | 0.014654 |
| GO:0030323~respiratory tube development | 5 | 3.88 | 0.01513 |
| GO:0051174~regulation of phosphorus metabolic process | 11 | 8.53 | 0.015164 |
| GO:0019220~regulation of phosphate metabolic process | 11 | 8.53 | 0.015164 |
| GO:0055080~cation homeostasis | 8 | 6.2 | 0.017558 |
| GO:0060541~respiratory system development | 5 | 3.88 | 0.018291 |
| GO:0048754~branching morphogenesis of a tube | 4 | 3.1 | 0.022669 |
| GO:0006874~cellular calcium ion homeostasis | 6 | 4.65 | 0.02823 |
| GO:0009165~nucleotide biosynthetic process | 6 | 4.65 | 0.029991 |
| GO:0055074~calcium ion homeostasis | 6 | 4.65 | 0.031203 |
| GO:0001763~morphogenesis of a branching structure | 4 | 3.1 | 0.031688 |
| GO:0034654~nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and nucleic acid biosynthetic process | 6 | 4.65 | 0.034365 |
| GO:0034404~nucleobase, nucleoside and nucleotide biosynthetic process | 6 | 4.65 | 0.034365 |
| GO:0006875~cellular metal ion homeostasis | 6 | 4.65 | 0.036355 |
| GO:0005840~ribosome | 6 | 4.65 | 0.036807 |
| GO:0045859~regulation of protein kinase activity | 8 | 6.2 | 0.042448 |
| GO:0055065~metal ion homeostasis | 6 | 4.65 | 0.042745 |
| GO:0043549~regulation of kinase activity | 8 | 6.2 | 0.049406 |

Table 4. GO categories for the 129 space genes. Processed through DAVID with stringency set at highest

Next, we submitted the list of 35 genes that were differentially regulated in five or more studies to DAVID for bioinformatics analysis. The KEGG Pathway analysis identified focal adhesion and Extracellular Matrix (ECM)-receptor interaction pathways were the largest number of enriched genes (Table 5).

| Term | Count | % | PValue | Genes |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------------------|
| ECM-receptor interaction | 4 | 11.43 | 0.004 | CD44, ITGB4, ITGA10, FN1 |
| Focal adhesion | 5 | 14.29 | 0.007 | CAV2, CAV1, ITGB4, ITGA10, FN1 |
| Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) | 3 | 8.571 | 0.0432 | ITGB4, ITGA10, TPM1 |
| Dilated cardiomyopathy | 3 | 8.571 | 0.0498 | ITGB4, ITGA10, TPM1 |

Table 5. KEGG Pathways associated with 35 genes that are differentially regulated in 5 or more studies

We processed the same list of 35 genes through the DAVID Functional Clustering Tool using the highest stringency setting and generated a list with 31 enriched GO categories (Table 6). Some of the top categories are cell adhesion (22.9%), biological adhesion (22.9%), response to steroid hormone stimulus (20%), response to hormone stimulus (20%), response to endogenous stimulus (20%), regulation of apoptosis(20%), regulation of programmed cell death (20%), regulation of cell death (20%), and insulin-like growth factor binding (11.4%).

| Term | Count | % | P Value |
|--|-------|-----|----------|
| GO:0048545~response to steroid hormone stimulus | 7 | 20 | 6.09E-06 |
| GO:0005520~insulin-like growth factor binding | 4 | 11 | 2.47E-05 |
| GO:0009725~response to hormone stimulus | 7 | 20 | 2.28E-04 |
| GO:0009719~response to endogenous stimulus | 7 | 20 | 3.87E-04 |
| GO:0007155~cell adhesion | 8 | 23 | 0.00126 |
| GO:0022610~biological adhesion | 8 | 23 | 0.00128 |
| GO:0019838~growth factor binding | 4 | 11 | 0.00178 |
| GO:0005539~glycosaminoglycan binding | 4 | 11 | 0.00403 |
| GO:0016477~cell migration | 5 | 14 | 0.00435 |
| GO:0030247~polysaccharide binding | 4 | 11 | 0.00525 |
| GO:0001871~pattern binding | 4 | 11 | 0.00525 |
| GO:0051495~positive regulation of cytoskeleton organization | 3 | 8.6 | 0.00535 |
| GO:0051674~localization of cell | 5 | 14 | 0.00634 |
| GO:0048870~cell motility | 5 | 14 | 0.00634 |
| GO:0042981~regulation of apoptosis | 7 | 20 | 0.01206 |
| GO:0043067~regulation of programmed cell death | 7 | 20 | 0.01263 |
| GO:0010941~regulation of cell death | 7 | 20 | 0.01284 |
| GO:0006916~anti-apoptosis | 4 | 11 | 0.01357 |
| GO:0010638~positive regulation of organelle organization | 3 | 8.6 | 0.01736 |
| GO:0030005~cellular di-, tri-valent inorganic cation homeostasis | 4 | 11 | 0.01756 |
| GO:0055066~di-, tri-valent inorganic cation homeostasis | 4 | 11 | 0.02011 |
| GO:0030003~cellular cation homeostasis | 4 | 11 | 0.02357 |
| GO:0030324~lung development | 3 | 8.6 | 0.02416 |
| GO:0030323~respiratory tube development | 3 | 8.6 | 0.02554 |
| GO:0060541~respiratory system development | 3 | 8.6 | 0.02839 |
| GO:0055080~cation homeostasis | 4 | 11 | 0.03198 |
| GO:0014706~striated muscle tissue development | 3 | 8.6 | 0.03393 |
| GO:0060537~muscle tissue development | 3 | 8.6 | 0.03711 |
| GO:0051493~regulation of cytoskeleton organization | 3 | 8.6 | 0.04324 |
| GO:0044087~regulation of cellular component biogenesis | 3 | 8.6 | 0.04674 |
| GO:0030246~carbohydrate binding | 4 | 11 | 0.04741 |

Table 6. 31 enriched GO categories generated from the list of 35 putative space genes.

For the visualization of the association between the genes in the network, we performed further bioinformatics analysis using STRING (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins) [61, 62]. By using STRING we can examine co-occurrence, co-expression, and experimental evidence for relationships between the genes of interest. For our analysis, physical and functional interactions among the genes were determined using the high confidence score of 0.7. We uploaded the 129 genes that were differentially regulated in at least 4 of the studies to STRING and the resulting gene association network were shown in Figure 1. The blue lines indicate an association; the thicker the lines the higher the level of confidence. Most of the genes clustered near the center and with a strong association are among the 35 genes we identified as differentially regulated in five or more studies. For example, FN1 (identified in 6 or more studies) shows a strong association with MYC, EGR1 and CTGF all of which were also identified in 6 or more studies. FN1 also shows strong association with LOX, CD44, IGFBP3 and IL8 which are in 5 or more studies. FOS, which is another gene identified in 6 or more studies, shows strong association with MYC, EGR1, MMP10, and IL8 which are genes identified in 5 or more studies.




Figure 1. 129 genes that were differentially regulated in at least 4 of the studies were uploaded to STRING. This view shows the evidence of the association between genes. The thicker the line the higher the confidence level.

STRING analysis of the 35 genes differentially regulated in 5 or more studies more clearly show the strong association between FN1, EGR1, CTGF, LOX, MYC, FOS, IGFBP3, and CD44 (Figure

2). Note that the genes FN1, EGR1, CTGF, MYC, FOS are among the genes that were differentially regulated in six or more studies, and therefore were identified to be the candidate major space genes at the highest confidence level in the present study.




Figure 2. 35 genes that were differentially regulated in at least 5 of the studies were uploaded to STRING. This view shows the evidence of the association between genes. The thicker the line the higher the confidence level.

To further examine the nature of the top 13 genes that were identified in six or more studies to be gravity sensitive, we compiled them into a table which showed the species, cell types, types of microgravity, duration in microgravity and sources of references as well as the directions of the differentially regulated genes (Table 7). From this table we can see that none of the genes were consistently differentially regulated in the same direction. Genes that tend to co-express such as MT1 and MT2, CTGF and CYR61 also seemed to co-express in the same direction in these studies. It is not clear why there is such a convergence in the expression patterns. Variables such as different cell types, different species, different forms of microgravity, duration of exposure, and different microarray platforms may be the contributing factors.

[illegible]

| Species | Cell | µg | Duration | CTGF | CYR61 | EGR1 | FN1 | FOS | HSPA1A | ITGB4 | KPNA2 | MT1 | MT2 | MYC | TUBA4A | TXNIP | Citation |
|---------|-----------------------|-----|----------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|--------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|----------|
| Mouse | hepatocytes | RWV | 24h | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | [7] |
| Mouse | calvarial osteoblasts | RWV | 5d | - | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | | [44] |
| Mouse | Dermal Fibroblast | RWV | 2d/7d | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | [50] |
| Human | Muscle | BR | 60d | + | | | + | | | | | | | | + | | [25] |
| Mouse | Soleus Muscle | HLS | 7d | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | [56] |
| Rat | gastrocnemius muscles | HLS | 16d | | + | | | | | | | | | | | | [55] |

BR= bed rest HLS = Hind limb suspension ISS = International Space Station ML = Magneto Levitation PF = Parabolic flight RPM = Random Positioning Machine RWV = Rotating Wall Vessel STS = Space Shuttle. “+” Indicates up-regulation; “-” Indicates down-regulation.

Table 7. The top 13 putative space genes organized according to types of microgravity. The columns under the gene symbols show the direction of differential regulation in each cell type and microgravity condition.

3. Discussion

The Space Shuttle and the International Space Station (ISS) are engineering miracles [63]. However, the biological importance of the ISS remains mired in controversy over academic and commercial priorities and funding. Do microgravity models provide specialized biological conditions that can be exploited for translational application in the commercial healthcare sector? This is a matter of critical importance to national funding priorities, international competitiveness of the United States, and the health status of Americans and our allies [64]. Not humble or simple questions.

To quote Gene Kranz, the Johnson Space Center Flight Director best known for his leadership during the Apollo13 crisis: “Let’s look at this from the point of view of status”[65]. To plan strategically, we need to understand the data we have, the timing of samples, the models studied, and the specifics of the analysis.

This article aims to summarize the status of genome-wide microarray studies in models of microgravity and the true microgravity of space: the data available, the timing of samples, the models studied, and the specifics of the analysis. Specifically, identifying the genes and pathways that may be of central importance in microgravity, may direct areas of commercial and health translation.

With genome-wide array technologies, it becomes possible to study microgravity effects on living organisms at the cellular and molecular levels and in much greater detail, which is key

to elucidation of the molecular mechanisms of this environmental factor. Since 2011, there has been both an increase in the number of relevant publications and an increase in the quality of retrievable data. This has allowed us to expand our list of putative “major space genes” from the initial number of eight (from our initial attempt toward the identification of the major space genes using literature data [4]) to the present number of 129 genes, at the comparable confidence level. Because of the increase in the number of relevant studies, we were able to go beyond the level of four or more studies in the selection of putative major space genes which was the highest level possible in our previous report [4]. We proceeded to go two levels higher to identify genes found differentially regulated in five or more and six or more studies to be 35 genes and 13 genes, respectively (Table 2). These two additional levels of higher stringency for the selection of putative major space genes enable a significantly higher level of confidence. Our further bioinformatics analysis on the differentially regulated genes showed interesting connections among many of the putative major space genes and several key pathways.

Perhaps the most important insights gleaned from this analysis are the limitations of the current data, which in turn suggests vectors for future analysis [64]. The animal and cellular ground control models are diverse, and incompletely characterized for advantages and limitations and the durations of exposure to true microgravity or simulations, are broadly spread. The analysis platforms vary, but are similar in scope and sensitivity. Despite these limitations, some themes emerge. The effects of radiation are apparent, as are changes in redox potential in the response to microgravity. These are both pathways relevant to tumors.

We found thioredoxin-interacting protein (TXNIP) to be one of the putative space genes that was most frequently differentially expressed in microgravity (Table 2). TXNIP was up-regulated by 10.5 fold in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECS) in the ISS, making it the most significantly altered gene expression in that study of true microgravity. In microgravity emulated by the random positioning machine (RPM), TXNIP in endothelial cells was down regulated by more than 4-fold after five days and slightly up-regulated after 7 days [35]. However, the same group found TXNIP to be up-regulated in thyroid cancer cells exposed to emulated microgravity [33]. TXNIP is a tumor suppressor in thyroid cells [66]. Up-regulation of this tumor suppressor gene may explain why Grosse et al found that thyroid cancer cells became less aggressive when grown in emulated microgravity [33].

Metallothionein I and II (MT-I and -II) are also among the top 13 putative major space genes affected (Table 2). These isoforms function primarily in metal ion homeostasis, scavenging of ROS, redox status, immune defense responses, cell proliferation and cell death [67, 68].

Changes in redox-related genes were also identified using fitness profiling of yeast deletion series grown in spaceflight and ground [69] using next generation sequencing. Techniques such as next generation sequencing technology, offer the potential for far more nuanced and detailed analysis of the whole genome, and secondary pathway analysis of the sequence data generated [69]. The genome-wide sensitivity profiles obtained from spaceflight were queried for their similarity to a compendium of drugs whose effects on the yeast collection have been previously reported. The effects of spaceflight have high concordance with the effects of changes in redox state, suggesting mechanisms by which spaceflight may negatively affect cell fitness.

The redox state of tumor cells is frequently disrupted and this is difficult to reproduce in ground-based cultures [70-72]. Hence, redox-dependent drug metabolism in tumors may be uniquely modeled in microgravity. Many of the genes most commonly associated with microgravity-related changes have been identified to have roles in cell cycling, which is critical for both carcinogenesis and responses to radiation damage. EGR1 (Early growth response protein 1; also referred to as Zif268, zinc finger protein 225; and NGFIA, nerve growth factor-induced protein A) is a tumor suppressor transcription factor for differentiation and mitogenesis. MYC encodes for a transcription factor with roles in cell cycle progression, apoptosis and cellular transformation [73]. Karyopherin alpha 2 (KPNA2) promotes tumorigenicity through up-regulation of c-MYC [74]. In endothelial cells grown in microgravity KPNA2 was shown to up-regulated in both adherent cells and multicellular conglomerates at 5 days in simulated microgravity, but down-regulated in multicellular conglomerates at 7 days [35]. HSP70 family members such as HSPA1A have been found to be critical to cellular homeostasis and cancer cell survival[75]. Integrin, Beta 4 (ITGB4) is the receptor for laminin and has been found to be up-regulated in thyroid cancer cells and MCTS grown on RPM for 24 hours [33].

Similar to the results of the current meta-analysis, Nislow et al. 2014 found spaceflight has subtle but significant effects on core cellular processes including growth control via RNA and ribosomal biogenesis, metabolism, modification and decay pathways. Furthermore, significant roles for DNA repair and replication, response to pH signaling, control of gene expression, and mitochondrial function were observed. The yeast chemogenetic analysis of spaceflight samples strongly implicates DNA and RNA damage as the major ground based analogs of spaceflight stress. Given the unique, and substantial radiation exposure in space, this is consistent with major radiation-mediated effects which may mimic cancer related effects.

Suppression of the immune system has been thought to be an important side-effect of microgravity exposure [1, 2, 31, 76]. Recently, a global gene expression analysis of human T cells after 1.5 h of stimulation by Con A and anti-CD28 in the LEUKIN spaceflight has identified immediate early genes whose transcription are inhibited in microgravity [31]. The transcription of immediate early genes is inhibited in T cells activated in microgravity, which may be involved in the molecular basis of spaceflight immunosuppression. NF- κ B is known to regulate transcription in most mammalian cells and plays a key role in immune responses to antigens, cytokines, UV radiation, oxidized LDL, free radicals, etc. [77-81]. CREB, a cAMP-responsive transcription factor, regulates immune genes including IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α . CREB also promotes survival and proliferation to T-cells, monocytes, and macrophages [82]. EGR1 and MYC, which are among the 48 most significantly down-regulated by microgravity in the T cell activation study are identified as the putative major space genes in the current study (Table 2).

This analysis shows a commonality of gene changes and pathways between different microgravity models. As data is systematically accumulated, this type of analysis will allow even more meaningful analysis. A key question is whether the unique environment of the ISS induces biological changes of commercial translational value to enhance ground-based health care? In which areas does ISS provide a specific advantage over ground-based biological simulations to direct strategic planning of space based biological science? Within and between ground-based microgravity simulations, can we identify areas where specific techniques are

best suited for health care applications? This approach will place space-based science at the center of academic medical center activity [83], and translate to commercial applications.

Abbreviations / Glossary

DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery

EGR1: Early growth response protein 1

GO: Gene Ontology

HARV: High Aspect Rotating Vessel

HUVEC: Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells

ISS: International Space Station

KPNA2: Karyopherin alpha 2

MT-1, -2: Metallothionein-I and -II

RCCS: Rotating Cell Culture System

ROS: Reactive oxygen species

RPM: Random Positioning Machine

RWV: Rotating Wall Vessel

STRING: Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins

TXNIP: Thioredoxin-interacting protein

Author details

Haitham Abdelmoaty¹, Timothy G. Hammond^{2,3,4,5,6}, Bobby L. Wilson^{1,7}, Holly H. Birdsall^{4,8} and Jade Q. Clement^{1,7*}

*Address all correspondence to: clement_jq@tsu.edu

1 Environmental Toxicology Graduate Program, Texas Southern University, TX, USA

2 Durham VA Medical Center, Research and Development Service, NC, USA

3 Nephrology Division, Department of Internal Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA

4 Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development, Veterans Health Care Administration, Washington, DC, USA

5 Washington, DC, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA

6 Nephrology Section, Department of Internal Medicine, George Washington School of Medicine, Washington, DC, USA

7 Chemistry Department, Texas Southern University, TX, USA

8 Baylor College of Medicine, Departments of Otolaryngology, Immunology, and Psychiatry, Houston, TX, USA

References

- [1] Cogoli A: The effect of space flight on human cellular immunity. *Environ Med* 1993, 37(2):107-116.
- [2] Ullrich O, Huber K, Lang K: Signal transduction in cells of the immune system in microgravity. *Cell Commun Signal* 2008, 6:9.
- [3] Vernikos J, Schneider VS: Space, gravity and the physiology of aging: parallel or convergent disciplines? A mini-review. *Gerontology* 2010, 56(2):157-166.
- [4] Clement JQ: Gene Expression Microarrays in Microgravity Research: Toward the Identification of Major Space Genes. In: *Innovations in Biotechnology*. Edited by Agbo EC: InTech; 2012: 319-346.
- [5] Scott JM, Warburton DE, Williams D, Whelan S, Krassioukov A: Challenges, concerns and common problems: physiological consequences of spinal cord injury and microgravity. *Spinal Cord* 2011, 49(1):4-16.
- [6] Daoud J, Rosenberg L, Tabrizian M: Pancreatic islet culture and preservation strategies: advances, challenges, and future outlook. *Cell Transplant* 2010, 19(12): 1523-1535.
- [7] Chang TT, Hughes-Fulford M: Molecular mechanisms underlying the enhanced functions of three-dimensional hepatocyte aggregates. *Biomaterials* 2014, 35(7): 2162-2171.
- [8] Rutzky LP, Bilinski S, Kloc M, Phan T, Zhang H, Katz SM, Stepkowski SM: Microgravity culture condition reduces immunogenicity and improves function of pancreatic islets1. *Transplantation* 2002, 74(1):13-21.
- [9] Zhang S, Zhang B, Chen X, Chen L, Wang Z, Wang Y: Three-dimensional culture in a microgravity bioreactor improves the engraftment efficiency of hepatic tissue constructs in mice. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 2014.
- [10] Clement JQ (ed.): Gene Expression Microarray in Microgravity Research: Toward the Identification of Major Space Genes: Intechopen; 2012.

- [11] Huang da W, Sherman BT, Stephens R, Baseler MW, Lane HC, Lempicki RA: DAVID gene ID conversion tool. *Bioinformatics* 2008, 2(10):428-430.
- [12] Hammond TG, Lewis FC, Goodwin TJ, Linnehan RM, Wolf DA, Hire KP, Campbell WC, Benes E, O'Reilly KC, Globus RK *et al*: Gene expression in space. *Nat Med* 1999, 5(4):359.
- [13] Hammond TG, Benes E, O'Reilly KC, Wolf DA, Linnehan RM, Taher A, Kaysen JH, Allen PL, Goodwin TJ: Mechanical culture conditions effect gene expression: gravity-induced changes on the space shuttle. *Physiol Genomics* 2000, 3(3):163-173.
- [14] Khaoustov VI, Risin D, Pellis NR, Yoffe B: Microarray analysis of genes differentially expressed in HepG2 cells cultured in simulated microgravity: preliminary report. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* 2001, 37(2):84-88.
- [15] Lewis ML, Cubano LA, Zhao B, Dinh HK, Pabalan JG, Piepmeier EH, Bowman PD: cDNA microarray reveals altered cytoskeletal gene expression in space-flown leukemic T lymphocytes (Jurkat). *Faseb J* 2001, 15(10):1783-1785.
- [16] Semov A, Semova N, Lacelle C, Marcotte R, Petroulakis E, Proestou G, Wang E: Alterations in TNF- and IL-related gene expression in space-flown WI38 human fibroblasts. *Faseb J* 2002, 16(8):899-901.
- [17] Meloni MA, Galleri G, Camboni MG, Pippia P, Cogoli A, Cogoli-Greuter M: Modeled microgravity affects motility and cytoskeletal structures. *J Gravit Physiol* 2004, 11(2):P197-198.
- [18] Boonyaratanakornkit JB, Cogoli A, Li CF, Schopper T, Pippia P, Galleri G, Meloni MA, Hughes-Fulford M: Key gravity-sensitive signaling pathways drive T cell activation. *Faseb J* 2005, 19(14):2020-2022.
- [19] Ward NE, Pellis NR, Risin SA, Risin D: Gene expression alterations in activated human T-cells induced by modeled microgravity. *J Cell Biochem* 2006, 99(4):1187-1202.
- [20] Ogawa T, Furochi H, Mameoka M, Hirasaka K, Onishi Y, Suzue N, Oarada M, Akamatsu M, Akima H, Fukunaga T *et al*: Ubiquitin ligase gene expression in healthy volunteers with 20-day bedrest. *Muscle Nerve* 2006, 34(4):463-469.
- [21] Infanger M, Kossmehl P, Shakibaei M, Bauer J, Kossmehl-Zorn S, Cogoli A, Curcio F, Oksche A, Wehland M, Kreutz R *et al*: Simulated weightlessness changes the cytoskeleton and extracellular matrix proteins in papillary thyroid carcinoma cells. *Cell Tissue Res* 2006, 324(2):267-277.
- [22] Clement JQ, Lacy SM, Wilson BL: Genome-wide gene expression profiling of microgravity effect on human liver cells. *J Gravit Physiol* 2007, 14(1):P121-122.
- [23] Yamada S, Ganno T, Ohara N, Hayashi Y: Chitosan monomer accelerates alkaline phosphatase activity on human osteoblastic cells under hypofunctional conditions. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2007, 83(2):290-295.

- [24] Clement JQ, Lacy SM, Wilson BL: Gene expression profiling of human epidermal keratinocytes in simulated microgravity and recovery cultures. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* 2008, 6(1):8-28.
- [25] Chopard A, Lecunff M, Danger R, Lamirault G, Bihouee A, Teusan R, Jasmin BJ, Marini JF, Leger JJ: Large-scale mRNA analysis of female skeletal muscles during 60 days of bed rest with and without exercise or dietary protein supplementation as countermeasures. *Physiol Genomics* 2009, 38(3):291-302.
- [26] Qian A, Di S, Gao X, Zhang W, Tian Z, Li J, Hu L, Yang P, Yin D, Shang P: cDNA microarray reveals the alterations of cytoskeleton-related genes in osteoblast under high magneto-gravitational environment. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai)* 2009, 41(7):561-577.
- [27] Reich KA, Chen YW, Thompson PD, Hoffman EP, Clarkson PM: Forty-eight hours of unloading and 24 h of reloading lead to changes in global gene expression patterns related to ubiquitination and oxidative stress in humans. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2010, 109(5):1404-1415.
- [28] Takahashi A, Suzuki H, Omori K, Seki M, Hashizume T, Shimazu T, Ishioka N, Ohnishi T: The expression of p53-regulated genes in human cultured lymphoblastoid TSCE5 and WTK1 cell lines during spaceflight. *Int J Radiat Biol* 2010, 86(8):669-681.
- [29] Sheyn D, Pelled G, Netanel D, Domany E, Gazit D: The effect of simulated microgravity on human mesenchymal stem cells cultured in an osteogenic differentiation system: a bioinformatics study. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2010, 16(11):3403-3412.
- [30] Mangala LS, Zhang Y, He Z, Emami K, Ramesh GT, Story M, Rohde LH, Wu H: Effects of simulated microgravity on expression profile of microRNA in human lymphoblastoid cells. *J Biol Chem* 2011, 286(37):32483-32490.
- [31] Chang TT, Walther I, Li CF, Boonyaratankornkit J, Galleri G, Meloni MA, Pippia P, Cogoli A, Hughes-Fulford M: The Rel/NF-kappaB pathway and transcription of immediate early genes in T cell activation are inhibited by microgravity. *J Leukoc Biol* 2012, 92(6):1133-1145.
- [32] Takahashi A, Suzuki H, Omori K, Seki M, Hashizume T, Shimazu T, Ishioka N, Ohnishi T: Expression of p53-regulated proteins in human cultured lymphoblastoid TSCE5 and WTK1 cell lines during spaceflight. *J Radiat Res* 2012, 53(2):168-175.
- [33] Grosse J, Wehland M, Pietsch J, Schulz H, Saar K, Hubner N, Eilles C, Bauer J, Abou-El-Ardat K, Baatout S *et al*: Gravity-sensitive signaling drives 3-dimensional formation of multicellular thyroid cancer spheroids. *Faseb J* 2012, 26(12):5124-5140.
- [34] Grosse J, Wehland M, Pietsch J, Ma X, Ulbrich C, Schulz H, Saar K, Hubner N, Hauslage J, Hemmersbach R *et al*: Short-term weightlessness produced by parabolic flight maneuvers altered gene expression patterns in human endothelial cells. *Faseb J* 2012, 26(2):639-655.

- [35] Ma X, Wehland M, Schulz H, Saar K, Hubner N, Infanger M, Bauer J, Grimm D: Genomic approach to identify factors that drive the formation of three-dimensional structures by EA.hy926 endothelial cells. *PLoS One* 2013, 8(5):e64402.
- [36] Versari S, Longinotti G, Barengi L, Maier JA, Bradamante S: The challenging environment on board the International Space Station affects endothelial cell function by triggering oxidative stress through thioredoxin interacting protein overexpression: the ESA-SPHINX experiment. *Faseb J* 2013, 27(11):4466-4475.
- [37] Ma X, Pietsch J, Wehland M, Schulz H, Saar K, Hubner N, Bauer J, Braun M, Schwarzwald A, Segerer J *et al*: Differential gene expression profile and altered cytokine secretion of thyroid cancer cells in space. *Faseb J* 2014, 28(2):813-835.
- [38] Girardi C, De Pitta C, Casara S, Calura E, Romualdi C, Celotti L, Mognato M: Integration analysis of microRNA and mRNA expression profiles in human peripheral blood lymphocytes cultured in modeled microgravity. *Biomed Res Int* 2014, 2014:296747.
- [39] Pardo SJ, Patel MJ, Sykes MC, Platt MO, Boyd NL, Sorescu GP, Xu M, van Loon JJ, Wang MD, Jo H: Simulated microgravity using the Random Positioning Machine inhibits differentiation and alters gene expression profiles of 2T3 preosteoblasts. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2005, 288(6):C1211-1221.
- [40] Patel MJ, Liu W, Sykes MC, Ward NE, Risin SA, Risin D, Jo H: Identification of mechanosensitive genes in osteoblasts by comparative microarray studies using the rotating wall vessel and the random positioning machine. *J Cell Biochem* 2007, 101(3):587-599.
- [41] Mazzatti DJ, Smith MA, Oita RC, Lim FL, White AJ, Reid MB: Muscle unloading-induced metabolic remodeling is associated with acute alterations in PPARdelta and UCP-3 expression. *Physiol Genomics* 2008, 34(2):149-161.
- [42] Frigeri A, Iacobas DA, Iacobas S, Nicchia GP, Desaphy JF, Camerino DC, Svelto M, Spray DC: Effect of microgravity on gene expression in mouse brain. *Exp Brain Res* 2008, 191(3):289-300.
- [43] Allen DL, Bandstra ER, Harrison BC, Thorng S, Stodieck LS, Kostenuik PJ, Morony S, Lacey DL, Hammond TG, Leinwand LL *et al*: Effects of spaceflight on murine skeletal muscle gene expression. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2009, 106(2):582-595.
- [44] Capulli M, Rufo A, Teti A, Rucci N: Global transcriptome analysis in mouse calvarial osteoblasts highlights sets of genes regulated by modeled microgravity and identifies a "mechanoresponsive osteoblast gene signature". *J Cell Biochem* 2009, 107(2):240-252.
- [45] Hammer BE, Kidder LS, Williams PC, Xu WW: Magnetic Levitation of MC3T3 Osteoblast Cells as a Ground-Based Simulation of Microgravity. *Microgravity Sci Technol* 2009, 21(4):311-318.

- [46] Fridley KM, Fernandez I, Li MT, Kettlewell RB, Roy K: Unique differentiation profile of mouse embryonic stem cells in rotary and stirred tank bioreactors. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2010, 16(11):3285-3298.
- [47] Monticone M, Liu Y, Pujic N, Cancedda R: Activation of nervous system development genes in bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells following spaceflight exposure. *J Cell Biochem* 2010, 111(2):442-452.
- [48] Lebsack TW, Fa V, Woods CC, Gruener R, Manziello AM, Pecaut MJ, Gridley DS, Stodieck LS, Ferguson VL, Deluca D: Microarray analysis of spaceflown murine thymus tissue reveals changes in gene expression regulating stress and glucocorticoid receptors. *J Cell Biochem* 2010, 110(2):372-381.
- [49] Sambandam Y, Blanchard JJ, Daughtridge G, Kolb RJ, Shanmugarajan S, Pandruvada SN, Bateman TA, Reddy SV: Microarray profile of gene expression during osteoclast differentiation in modelled microgravity. *J Cell Biochem* 2010, 111(5):1179-1187.
- [50] Montani C, Steimberg N, Boniotti J, Biasiotto G, Zanella I, Diafera G, Biunno I, Caimi L, Mazzoleni G, Di Lorenzo D: Fibroblasts maintained in 3 dimensions show a better differentiation state and higher sensitivity to estrogens. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2014.
- [51] Chelyshev YA, Muhamedshina YO, Povysheva TV, Shaymardanova GF, Rizvanov AA, Nigmatzyanova MV, Tiapkina OV, Bondarenko NI, Nikolskiy EE, Islamov RR: Characterization of spinal cord glial cells in a model of hindlimb unloading in mice. *Neuroscience* 2014.
- [52] Wittwer M, Fluck M, Hoppeler H, Muller S, Desplanches D, Billeter R: Prolonged unloading of rat soleus muscle causes distinct adaptations of the gene profile. *Faseb J* 2002, 16(8):884-886.
- [53] Stein T, Schluter M, Galante A, Soteropoulos P, Tolias P, Grindeland R, Moran M, Wang T, Polansky M, Wade C: Energy metabolism pathways in rat muscle under conditions of simulated microgravity. *J Nutr Biochem* 2002, 13(8):471.
- [54] Taylor WE, Bhasin S, Lalani R, Datta A, Gonzalez-Cadavid NF: Alteration of gene expression profiles in skeletal muscle of rats exposed to microgravity during a spaceflight. *J Gravit Physiol* 2002, 9(2):61-70.
- [55] Nikawa T, Ishidoh K, Hirasaka K, Ishihara I, Ikemoto M, Kano M, Kominami E, Nonaka I, Ogawa T, Adams GR *et al*: Skeletal muscle gene expression in space-flown rats. *Faseb J* 2004, 18(3):522-524.
- [56] Dapp C, Schmutz S, Hoppeler H, Fluck M: Transcriptional reprogramming and ultrastructure during atrophy and recovery of mouse soleus muscle. *Physiol Genomics* 2004, 20(1):97-107.
- [57] Kwon O, Sartor M, Tomlinson CR, Millard RW, Olah ME, Sankovic JM, Banerjee RK: Effect of simulated microgravity on oxidation-sensitive gene expression in PC12 cells. *Adv Space Res* 2006, 38(6):1168-1176.

- [58] Dai ZQ, Wang R, Ling SK, Wan YM, Li YH: Simulated microgravity inhibits the proliferation and osteogenesis of rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Cell Prolif* 2007, 40(5):671-684.
- [59] Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* 2009, 4(1):44-57.
- [60] Huang da W, Sherman BT, Zheng X, Yang J, Imamichi T, Stephens R, Lempicki RA: Extracting biological meaning from large gene lists with DAVID. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2009, Chapter 13:Unit 13 11.
- [61] Franceschini A, Szklarczyk D, Frankild S, Kuhn M, Simonovic M, Roth A, Lin J, Minguez P, Bork P, von Mering C *et al*: STRING v9.1: protein-protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013, 41(Database issue):D808-815.
- [62] Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Kuhn M, Simonovic M, Roth A, Minguez P, Doerks T, Stark M, Muller J, Bork P *et al*: The STRING database in 2011: functional interaction networks of proteins, globally integrated and scored. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011, 39(Database issue):D561-568.
- [63] Jenks MD: Systems Engineering of the International Space Station. In: *NAE Symposium on Frontiers in Engineering: 2000*.
- [64] Council NR: Recapturing a Future for Space Exploration Life and Physical Sciences Research for a New Era. Washington, D.C.: Academies Press; 2011.
- [65] Apollo 13. Universal Studios, 2005.
- [66] Morrison JA, Pike LA, Sams SB, Sharma V, Zhou Q, Severson JJ, Tan AC, Wood WM, Haugen BR: Thioredoxin interacting protein (TXNIP) is a novel tumor suppressor in thyroid cancer. *Mol Cancer* 2014, 13:62.
- [67] Nath R, Kumar D, Li T, Singal PK: Metallothionins, oxidative stress and the cardiovascular system. *Toxicology* 2000, 155(1-3):17-26.
- [68] Searle PF, Davison BL, Stuart GW, Wilkie TM, Norstedt G, Palmiter RD: Regulation, linkage, and sequence of mouse metallothionein I and II genes. *Mol Cell Biol* 1984, 4(7):1221-1230.
- [69] Nislow C, Lee AY, Allen PL, Giaever G, Smith A, Gebbia M, Stodieck L, Hammond JS, Birdsall HH, Hammond TG: Genetic requirements required for survival in microgravity revealed by genome-wide yeast deletion collections cultured during space-flight. *Biomed Res Int* 2014, In Press.
- [70] Jorgenson TC, Zhong W, Oberley TD: Redox imbalance and biochemical changes in cancer. *Cancer research* 2013, 73(20):6118-6123.
- [71] Kobayashi CI, Suda T: Regulation of reactive oxygen species in stem cells and cancer stem cells. *Journal of cellular physiology* 2012, 227(2):421-430.

- [72] Acharya A, Das I, Chandhok D, Saha T: Redox regulation in cancer: a double-edged sword with therapeutic potential. *Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity* 2010, 3(1): 23-34.
- [73] Ehninger A, Boch T, Uckelmann H, Essers MA, Mudder K, Sleckman BP, Trumpp A: Posttranscriptional regulation of c-Myc expression in adult murine HSCs during homeostasis and interferon-alpha-induced stress response. *Blood* 2014, 123(25): 3909-3913.
- [74] Huang L, Wang HY, Li JD, Wang JH, Zhou Y, Luo RZ, Yun JP, Zhang Y, Jia WH, Zheng M: KPNA2 promotes cell proliferation and tumorigenicity in epithelial ovarian carcinoma through upregulation of c-Myc and downregulation of FOXO3a. *Cell Death Dis* 2013, 4:e745.
- [75] Craig EA, Jacobsen K: Mutations of the heat inducible 70 kilodalton genes of yeast confer temperature sensitive growth. *Cell* 1984, 38(3):841-849.
- [76] Cogoli A: Space flight and the immune system. *Vaccine* 1993, 11(5):496-503.
- [77] Brasier AR: The NF-kappaB regulatory network. *Cardiovasc Toxicol* 2006, 6(2):111-130.
- [78] Gilmore TD: The Rel/NF-kappaB signal transduction pathway: introduction. *Oncogene* 1999, 18(49):6842-6844.
- [79] Gilmore TD: Introduction to NF-kappaB: players, pathways, perspectives. *Oncogene* 2006, 25(51):6680-6684.
- [80] Perkins ND: Integrating cell-signalling pathways with NF-kappaB and IKK function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2007, 8(1):49-62.
- [81] Tian B, Brasier AR: Identification of a nuclear factor kappa B-dependent gene network. *Recent Prog Horm Res* 2003, 58:95-130.
- [82] Wen AY, Sakamoto KM, Miller LS: The role of the transcription factor CREB in immune function. *J Immunol* 2010, 185(11):6413-6419.
- [83] zau VJ, Cho A, Ellaissi W, Yoediono Z, Sangvai D, Shah B, Zaas D, Udayakumar K: Transforming academic health centers for an uncertain future. *N Engl J Med* 2013, 369(11):991-993.